2021 MT HOLLAND CHUDITCH MONITORING

Covalent Lithium

ecoscape

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT FOR:

2021 Mt Holland Chuditch Monitoring

Our Reference: 4644-21 2021 Mt Holland Chuditch Monitoring Final

Copyright © 1987-2020

Ecoscape (Australia) Pty Ltd

ABN 70 070 128 675

Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), the whole or any part of this document may not be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner, Ecoscape (Australia) Pty Ltd. This includes microcopying, photocopying or recording of any parts of the report.

| Revision | Author | QA Reviewer | Approved | Date | |
|----------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| Final | Bruce Turner | Set | Set | 30/07/2021 | |
| | | S Bateman | S Bateman | | |
| | | Associate Spatial Planner | Associate Spatial Planner | | |

Direct all inquiries to:

Ecoscape (Australia) Pty Ltd

9 Stirling Highway • PO Box 50 NORTH FREMANTLE WA 6159

Ph: (08) 9430 8955 Fax: (08) 9430 8977

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ackno | wledgements | 1 |
|--------|--|----|
| Summ | nary | 2 |
| 1 In | troduction | 3 |
| 1.1 | Project Purpose | 3 |
| 1.1.1 | Project Scope | 3 |
| 1.2 | Survey Area | 3 |
| 1.2.1 | Regional Location | 3 |
| 1.3 | Statutory and Technical Framework | 5 |
| 1.3.1 | Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 | 5 |
| 1.3.2 | Western Australian Environmental Protection Act 1986 | 5 |
| 1.3.3 | Western Australian Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 | 5 |
| 1.3.4 | Western Australian Priority Fauna | 6 |
| 2 M | lethod | 7 |
| 2.1 | Field Survey | 7 |
| 2.1.1 | Survey Design | 7 |
| 2.1.2 | Site Selection | 7 |
| 2.2 | Data Analysis | 7 |
| 3 R | esults | 10 |
| 3.1 | Monitoring Sites | 10 |
| 3.2 | Chuditch Captures | 10 |
| 3.2.1 | Opportunistic Records | 10 |
| 3.2.2 | Other Species | 12 |
| 3.3 | Data Analysis | 12 |
| 4 D | iscussion and Recommendations | 13 |
| 4.1 | Chuditch Population | 13 |
| 4.2 | Recommendations Adopted from 2020 Chuditch Monitoring | 13 |
| 4.3 | Recommendations for 2021 Monitoring | 13 |
| Refere | ences | 14 |
| Apper | ndix One Definitions and Criteria | 15 |
| Apper | ndix Two Trapping Site Details | 18 |
| FIG | URES | |
| Figure | 1: Project Location | 4 |

TABLES

| Table 1: Chuditch capture results | 10 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Fauna species captures | 12 |
| Table 3: EPBC Act categories for flora and fauna | 15 |
| Table 4: Conservation codes for Western Australian flora and fauna (DBCA 2019) | 16 |
| Table 5: Locations of impact trap sites | 18 |
| Table 6: Locations of control trap sites | 19 |
| MAPS | |
| Map 1: Location of impact site traps | 8 |
| Map 2: location of control site traps | 9 |
| IMAGES | |
| Image 1: Male Chuditch captured at Control site 58 (Map 2) | 11 |
| Image 2: Habitat at opportunistic capture site | 11 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Ecoscape would like to acknowledge the assistance and support we received from both the Covalent head office staff and the staff on-site who made us welcome and provided logistical support where needed. We look forward to returning for the next years monitoring.

SUMMARY

Ecoscape was engaged to provide the following services for the project:

- undertake and complete Chuditch monitoring, specifically:
 - o establish and monitor three control sites more than five kilometres outside of the development envelope
 - o establish and monitor three impact sites within the development envelope
- record all Chuditch captures in a monitoring database including morphometrics; location of capture; health status and breeding status (e.g. number of pouch young; lactation etc.)
- undertake monitoring within the Chuditch breeding season (May to July).

The results of the 2021 Mt Holland Chuditch monitoring has provided data that can be used to compare future monitoring results for the Covalent Lithium EGLP Project site

One male Chuditch was recorded opportunistically during the 2021 monitoring period. Mitchell's Hopping-mouse (*Notomys mitchellii*) was also recorded from both the control and impact sites.

The 2021 Chuditch monitoring was the third annual monitoring survey undertaken during the Chuditch breeding season.

Ongoing monitoring of the Chuditch population within and outside of the development envelope should consider the following actions:

• continue monitoring in June 2022.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT PURPOSE

Covalent Lithium is developing the Earl Grey Lithium Project (EGLP) which will include the construction and operation of a fully integrated mine, concentrator, and refinery in Western Australia. The project is centred on the Earl Grey hard-rock lithium deposit 105 km south of Southern Cross in Western Australia and approximately 500 km east of Perth (Figure 1).

The survey area intersects with habitat of two conservation significant fauna species, the Malleefowl (Leipoa ocellata) and the Chuditch (Dasyurus geoffroii). Both species are listed as vulnerable (VU) under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 and the Western Australian Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 and are considered as Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES).

The purpose of the project is to continue Chuditch monitoring prior to, during, and post construction of the mine and associated infrastructure, to determine Chuditch population density or abundance and determine their distribution in the local region.

1.1.1 PROJECT SCOPE

The project scope was to undertake a monitoring program for the Chuditch using a before-after control-impact (BACI) design adapted to Chuditch ecology through consultation with the Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (DBCA).

Ecoscape was engaged to provide the following services for the project:

- undertake and complete Chuditch monitoring for 2020, specifically:
 - o establish and monitor three control sites more than five kilometres outside of the development envelope
 - o establish and monitor three impact sites within the development envelope
- record all Chuditch captures in a monitoring database including morphometrics; location of capture; health status and breeding status (e.g. number of pouch young; lactation etc.)
- undertake monitoring within the Chuditch breeding season (May to July).

1.2 SURVEY AREA

1.2.1 REGIONAL LOCATION

The survey area is in the Shire of Yilgarn in the Goldfields region of Western Australia, about 100km south of Southern Cross. The development envelope is within the Great Western Woodlands (GWW) and is approximately 1,984 hectares in extent (Figure 1). The GWW is a 16 million hectare area extending from the wheatbelt to the edge of the deserts and is the largest intact area of Mediterranean Woodland on earth (DEC 2010). The GWW includes open eucalypt woodlands (63%), Mallee eucalypt woodlands, shrublands and grasslands (Fox *et al.* 2016). Less common habitats in the GWW include granite outcrops, banded ironstone formations, salt lakes and freshwater wetlands (Fox *et al.* 2016).

The Development envelope is in the Southern Cross Subregion of the Coolgardie Bioregion of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalism for Australia (IBRA) classification system (Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment 2020). The dominant land-uses in this bioregion are Crown Reserves and Unallocated Crown Land (66.7%), grazing on native pastures (17%), conservation (11.5%) and dryland agriculture (2.3%) (Cowan et al. 2001; Cowan 2001). The greenstone hills, alluvial valleys and broad plains of calcareous earths support diverse eucalypt woodlands. The uplands support Mallee woodlands and scrub-heaths on sandplains, gravelly sandplains, and lateritic breakaways. Chains of salt lakes with dwarf shrublands of samphire occur in the valleys (Cowan et al. 2001).




Figure 1: Project Location

1.3 STATUTORY AND TECHNICAL FRAMEWORK

The requirements of the monitoring program were as follows:

- be conducted in accordance with current statutory and technical guidance;
 - o Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)
 - o Department of Sustainability Environment Water Population and Communities (DSEWPaC 2011) Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened mammals
 - o Western Australian Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act)
 - o Western Australian Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act)
 - o Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Technical Guidance Terrestrial vertebrate fauna surveys for environmental impact assessment (EPA 2020)
- Follow DBCA Standard Operating Procedures;
 - o cage traps for live capture of terrestrial vertebrates (DBCA 2018)
 - o Permanent marking of vertebrates using microchips (DEC 2009)
- be conducted by personnel complying with regulatory expectations in relation to holding the necessary DBCA Fauna License and years of experience.

1.3.1 COMMONWEALTH ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AND BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT 1999

At a Commonwealth level, threatened taxa (flora and fauna) are protected under the EPBC Act, which lists species that are considered Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable, Conservation Dependant, Extinct, or Extinct in the Wild (detailed in **Table 3**).

1.3.2 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1986

The Western Australian EP Act was created to provide for an EPA that has the responsibility for:

- · prevention, control and abatement of pollution and environmental harm
- conservation, preservation, protection, enhancement, and management of the environment
- matters incidental to or connected with the above.

The EPA is responsible for providing the guidance and policy under which environmental assessments are conducted. It conducts environmental impact assessments (based on the information included in environmental assessments and provided by the proponent), initiates measures to protect the environment and provides advice to the Minister responsible for environmental matters.

1.3.3 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT 2016

The Western Australian BC Act provides for the conservation, protection and ecologically sustainable use of biodiversity and biodiversity components in Western Australia. It commenced on 1 January 2019.

Threatened species (both flora and fauna) and ecological communities that meet the categories listed within the BC Act are highly protected and require authorisation by the Minister to take or disturb. These are known as Threatened Flora, Threatened Fauna and Threatened Ecological Communities. The conservation categories of Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable have been aligned with those detailed in the EPBC Act and are detailed in **Table 4** in **Appendix One**.

Flora and fauna species may be listed as being of special conservation interest if they have a naturally low population, restricted natural range, are subject to or recovering from a significant population decline or reduction of range or are of special interest, and the Minister considers that taking may result in depletion of the species. Migratory species and those subject to international agreements are also listed under the Act. These are known as specially protected species in the BC Act.

The most recent flora and fauna listings were published in the Government Gazette on 11 September 2018 (Government of Western Australia 2018).

1.3.4 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN PRIORITY FAUNA

Conservation significant fauna species are listed by the DBCA as Priority Fauna where populations are geographically restricted or threatened by local processes, or where there is insufficient information to formally assign them to threatened fauna categories. Whilst Priority Fauna are not specifically listed in the BC Act, these have a greater level of significance than other native species. The categories covering Priority Fauna species are outlined in **Table 4** in **Appendix One**.

2 METHOD

2.1 FIELD SURVEY

The field survey for the 2021 Chuditch monitoring program was undertaken by Ecoscape zoologists Hugh Osborn and Dr Sam Rycken under DBCA Wildlife Licensing Fauna License No. BA27000085-3 and Threatened Species Authority TFA_2020-0070_Turner_Monitoring_Authorisation. The survey was conducted from 14 to 22 June 2021.

2.1.1 SURVEY DESIGN

The design of the survey was developed in conjunction with DBCA expert Dr Keith Morris and included the following elements:

- · monitoring to have a BACI design element to enable potential impacts to be measured
- two sites to be established: control site and impact site
 - control site to be more than 5 km from development envelope boundary and close to 2017 capture sites if possible
 - o impact site to be within the development envelope and outside of the infrastructure footprint
- each site is to consist of three grids or transects of 10 traps each with traps to be spaced 200 m apart within a grid
- traps to be in operation for a minimum of four nights
- trap effort for each of the control and impact sites will be 10 traps x 3 grids x 4 nights = 120 trap nights.


2.1.2 SITE SELECTION

The impact site was restricted to areas within the development envelope that were not planned to be cleared for the proposed mine and associated infrastructure and in areas where Chuditch were captured in 2017. Three areas were selected in 2019 by desktop investigation (**Map 1**).

The control site was also preselected by desktop investigation using the 2017 trapped Chuditch locations and placing a 5 km buffer around the development envelope. The location of the 2019 control site was relocated close to the original 2017 transect approximately five km north of the Jasmine mine pit for the 2020 monitoring survey (**Map 2**).

2.2 DATA ANALYSIS

The intention is to analyse capture date to provide a population density estimate using a standard mark and recapture method as that performed by Rayner *et al* (2011). Data collected in the field is entered into the MARK software (White 2014) that completes an iteration process to provide an estimate of population density based on information entered by the user.



LEGEND

2021 Impact Trap Sites



Observed Fauna

Development Envelope





IMPACT TRAP LOCATIONS

COVALENT FAUNA MONITORING 2021

COVALENT

DATA SOURCES:
SOURCE DATA: TRAP SITES (ECOSCAPE, 2019)
AERIAL: MOUNT HOLLAND MOSAIC (COVALENT,

2019)
BASEMAP: GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA
SERVICE LAYERS:

COORDINATE SYSTEM: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 50 PROJECTION: TRANSVERSE MERCATOR DATUM: GDA 1994 UNITS: MET'ER



23/07/2021



LEGEND

2021 Control Trap Sites



Development Envelope





CONTROL TRAP LOCATIONS

COVALENT FAUNA MONITORING 2021

COVALENT

DATA SOURCES:
SOURCE DATA: TRAP SITES (ECOSCAPE, 2019)
AERIAL: MOUNT HOLLAND MOSAIC (COVALENT, 2019) BASEMAP: GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA SERVICE LAYERS:

COORDINATE SYSTEM: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 50 PROJECTION: TRANSVERSE MERCATOR DATUM: GDA 1994 UNITS: METER



SCALE: 1:25,888 @ A3

PROJECT NO: 4644-21

AUTHOR APPROVED 23/07/2021

3 RESULTS

3.1 MONITORING SITES

The field teams established two monitoring sites to capture and record data on the target species Chuditch (*Dasyurus geoffroii*). An impact site (**Map 1**) was established within the development envelope and a control site was established within five km to the northwest of the impact site (**Map 2**).

Impact trap locations were modified slightly from the 2019 monitoring to increase the collection areas by stretching out the grids into longer lines, the trap lines remained in the general areas as for the 2020 monitoring (**Map 1**).

Monitoring sites were comprised of three lines of 10 wire cage traps totalling 30 traps spaced at 200 m at the impact site and one line of 30 traps spaced at 200 m at the Control site. Traps were set for a total of four nights giving a total of 120 trap nights/site. Traps were baited with a universal bait mix with added sardines to attract Chuditch in a suspended bait cage. Traps were checked each morning within three hours of sunrise. Trap locations are listed in **Table 5** and **Table 6** in **Appendix Two**.

Trail cameras were placed at 200 m intervals between each trap site as an additional effort to record Chuditch.

Weather conditions were cold mornings and cool days with early morning fog, there was one night of rain showers. Traps were covered with hessian bags to provide shelter.

3.2 CHUDITCH CAPTURES

No captures were recorded at the impact site for the entire monitoring event.

No captures were recorded at the control site for the entire monitoring event.

3.2.1 OPPORTUNISTIC RECORDS

There was one capture of a male Chuditch (**Image 1**) on 23 May at Preclearance Fauna Survey trap site (**Table 1; Map 1**). No impact or control site traps recorded Chuditch.

The capture was weighed, measured, and tagged with a Passive Implant Transponder (PIT) tag (**Table 1**). The animal was in good condition with no recorded bite marks or parasites and did not exhibit any previous capture marks or tags. Tissue samples taken from the capture were preserved in ethanol and labelled for subsequent delivery to the DBCA DNA database team.

Table 1: Chuditch capture results

| Date | 23/05/2021 |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Sex | Male |
| Weight | 1325 g |
| Pes | 60.2 mm |
| Head length | 98.42 mm |
| Chip | 941000022848319 |
| Easting | 759369.52 |
| Northing | 6446262.87 |

GDA 94 zone 50 UTM



Image 1: Male Chuditch captured during pre-clearance survey (Map 1)

Map 1 shows the location of the capture site south of the Earl Grey pit. Habitat at this site was Mallee woodland on plains (**Image 2**).



Image 2: Habitat at opportunistic capture site

3.2.2 OTHER SPECIES

The nontarget species list is shown in **Table 2**. The captures of Mitchell's Hopping-mouse indicate a healthy ecosystem is present. The presence of these species, which are prey for introduced predators suggests a low abundance of Fox and Feral Cat.

Table 2: Fauna species captures

| Species | Common name | Site ID | Trap ID | Date |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Notomys mitchellii | Mitchell's Hopping-mouse | Impact | 8 | 21/06/2020 |
| Drymodes brunneopygia | Southern Scrub Robin | Impact | 11 | 20/06/2020 |
| Drymodes brunneopygia | Southern Scrub Robin | Impact | 11 | 21/06/2020 |
| Notomys mitchellii | Mitchell's Hopping-mouse | Impact | 20 | 21/06/2020 |
| Notomys mitchellii | Mitchell's Hopping-mouse | Impact | 23 | 19/06/2020 |
| Cracticus torquatus | Grey Butcherbird | Impact | 24 | 20/06/2020 |
| Notomys mitchellii | Mitchell's Hopping-mouse | Control | 35 | 22/06/2020 |

Habitat quality within the development envelope was considered to be in very good condition with the impact sites trapping grids being located across all habitat types present. Habitat quality at the control sites varied from very good to moderate, the moderate sites were regenerating from fire disturbance approximately 4-5 years previous.

3.3 DATA ANALYSIS

No analysis was able to be performed as there was only a single Chuditch capture recorded. Combined results for 2019 and 2020 are one female capture at each site over the 12 months of monitoring.

4 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 CHUDITCH POPULATION

The results of the 2021 EGLP Chuditch monitoring has provided data that can be used to compare future monitoring results for the Covalent Lithium EGLP site. It is not possible to estimate a population abundance with one capture in the 12 months from 2020 to 2021.

The timing of the 2021 monitoring was optimal to monitor for the breeding adult population. However, the increase in collection area by stretching the impact site grids out into longer lines did not result in an increase in captures. The increase in the number of trap nights also did not an increase in captures.

Neither the control nor impact site traps recorded captures of Chuditch. This is not consistent with the 2019 or 2020 monitoring of one capture within the impact sites and one capture within the control sites.

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED FROM 2020 CHUDITCH MONITORING

With the conclusion of the 2020 monitoring several recommendations were made:

- continue monitoring in June 2021
- · consider increasing trap numbers to 12 per grid
- consider increasing trap nights to six
- investigate possible use of independent trail camera arrays to provide additional information on Chuditch presence and introduced predators away from established monitoring trap sites.

Aspects adopted for the 2021 Chuditch monitoring.

- 1. Monitoring was undertaken in June 2021
- 2. Increased trap nights to six to increase the capture numbers.
- 3. Deployment of trail cameras between trap sites to increase probability of recording Chuditch.

4.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2021 MONITORING

For the 2021 monitoring to potentially increase the number of Chuditch captures the following recommendation is suggested:

• Increase the number of trap nights from six to eight at each site, this will increase the number of trap nights from 120 trap nights /site to 240 trap nights/site.

Increasing the number of traps was considered to be in conflict with animal welfare concerns around clearing traps in a timely manner as per DBCA Standard Operating Procedures (DBCA 2018). The use of trail cameras was also considered although individual animals cannot be positively identified. However, trail cameras can provide evidence of presence and will be deployed in 2022.

REFERENCES

- Australian Government. Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- Cowan, M. 2001, "Coolgardie 3 (COO3 Eastern Goldfields subregion).," Department of Conservation and Land Management.
- Cowan, M., Graham, G., & McKenzie, N. 2001, "Coolgardie 2 (COO 2 Southern Cross Subregion).," in A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions in 2002, J. E. May & N. L. McKenzie eds., Department of Conservation and Land Management.
- Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment. 2020. *Australia's bioregions (IBRA)*. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/land/nrs/science/ibra.
- Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions 2018, *Standard Operating Procedure: Cage Traps for Live Capture of Terrestrial Vertebrates*, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth, WA.
- Department of Environment and Conservation. 2009. SOP 12.1 Permanent marking of vertebrates using microchips.
- Department of Environment and Conservation. 2010. A Biodiversity and Cultural Conservation Strategy for the Great Western Woodlands. Available from:

 http://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/conservation-management/off-road-conservation/gww/gww-strategy.pdf.
- Department of Sustainability Environment Water Population and Communities. 2011. Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened mammals: Guidelines for detecting mammals listed as threatened under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/publications/pubs/survey-quidelines-mammals.pdf.
- Environmental Protection Authority. 2020. *Technical Guidance Terrestrial vertebrate fauna surveys for environmental impact assessment*. Available from:

 https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies and Guidance/EPA-Technical-Guidance-Vertebrate-Fauna-Surveys.pdf">https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies and Guidance/EPA-Technical-Guidance-Vertebrate-Fauna-Surveys.pdf.
- Fox, E., Mcnee, S., & Douglas, T. 2016, *Birds of the Great Western Woodlands. Report for The Nature Conservancy*, BirdLife Australia, Melbourne.
- Government of Western Australia. Environmental Protection Act 1986.
- Government of Western Australia. Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.
- Government of Western Australia. 2018. *Government Gazette No. 135, 11 September 2018.* Available from:
 - $\frac{https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/gazette/gazette.nsf/searchgazette/EF556EEFA23C70FA482583040013E0}{FC/\$file/Gg135.pdf}.$
- Rayner, K., Chambers, B., Johnson, B., Morris, K., & Mills, H.R. 2011. Spatial and dietary requirements of the chuditch (*Dasyurus geoffroii*) in a semiarid climatic zone. *Australian Mammalogy*
- White, G. 2014. MARK. Colorado State University.

APPENDIX ONE

DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA

Table 3: EPBC Act categories for flora and fauna

| EPBC ACT 1999 category | Definition | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Extinct | A native species is eligible to be included in the extinct category at a particular time if, at that time, there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died. | |
| | A native species is eligible to be included in the extinct in the wild category at a particular time if, at that time: | |
| Extinct in the wild | (a) it is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or | |
| | (b) it has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form. | |
| Critically Endangered (CE) | A native species is eligible to be included in the critically endangered category at a particular time if, at that time, it is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria. | |
| | A native species is eligible to be included in the endangered category at a particular time if, at that time: | |
| Endangered (EN) | (a) it is not critically endangered; and | |
| | (b) it is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria. | |
| | A native species is eligible to be included in the vulnerable category at a particular time if, at that time: | |
| Vulnerable (VU) | (a) it is not critically endangered or endangered; and | |
| | (b) it is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria. | |
| | A native species is eligible to be included in the conservation dependent category at a particular time if, at that time: | |
| | (a) the species is the focus of a specific conservation program the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered; or | |
| | (b) the following subparagraphs are satisfied: | |
| Concernation Dependent | (i) the species is a species of fish; | |
| Conservation Dependent | (ii) the species is the focus of a plan of management that provides for management actions necessary to stop the decline of, and support the recovery of, the species so that its chances of long term survival in nature are maximised; | |
| | (iii) the plan of management is in force under a law of the Commonwealth or of a State or Territory; | |
| | (iv) cessation of the plan of management would adversely affect the conservation status of the species. | |

Table 4: Conservation codes for Western Australian flora and fauna (DBCA 2019)

Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna

Threatened, Extinct and Specially Protected fauna or flora¹ are species² which have been adequately searched for and are deemed to be, in the wild, threatened, extinct or in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such.

The Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 and the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 have been transitioned under regulations 170, 171 and 172 of the Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2018 to be the lists of Threatened, Extinct and Specially Protected species under Part 2 of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.

Categories of Threatened, Extinct and Specially Protected fauna and flora are:

Threatened species Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species under section 26(2) of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act). Threatened fauna is that subset of 'Specially Protected Fauna' listed under schedules 1 to 3of the Wildlife Conservation т (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for Threatened Fauna. Threatened flora is that subset of 'Rare Flora' listed under schedules 1 to 3of the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for Threatened Flora The assessment of the conservation status of these species is based on their national extent and ranked according to their level of threat using IUCN Red List categories and criteria as detailed below. Critically endangered species Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines". CR Listed as critically endangered undersection 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 1 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for critically endangered fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for critically endangered flora. **Endangered species** Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines". ΕN Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 2 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for endangered fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for endangered flora. Vulnerable species Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines". VII Listed as vulnerable undersection 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 3of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for

| Extinct spe Listed by o | ecies rder of the Minister as extinct under section 23(1) of the BC Act as extinct or extinct in the wild. | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Extinct species | | | | |
| EX | Species where "there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 24 of the BC Act). | | | |
| | Published as presumed extinct under schedule 4of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for extinct fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for extinct flora. | | | |
| | Extinct in the wild species | | | |
| EW | Species that "is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 25of the BC Act). | | | |
| | Currently there are no threatened fauna or threatened flora species listed as extinct in the wild. If listing of a species as extinct in the wild occurs, then a schedule will be added to the applicable notice. | | | |

vulnerable fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for vulnerable flora.

Specially protected species

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

| Conservation | n Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna |
|---------------|---|
| | Migratory species |
| | Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15of the BC Act). |
| МІ | Includes birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the <i>Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals</i> (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species. |
| | Published as migratory birds protected under an international agreement under schedule 5 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018. |
| | Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna) |
| CD | Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14of the BC Act). |
| | Published as conservation dependent fauna under schedule 6 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018. |
| | Other specially protected species |
| os | Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18of the BC Act). |
| | Published as other specially protected fauna under schedule 7of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018. |
| | Priority species |
| _ | Possibly threatened species that do not meet survey criteria, or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of priority for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to their declaration as threatened fauna or flora. |
| Р | Species that are adequately known, are rare but not threatened, or meet criteria for near threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring. |
| | Assessment of Priority codes is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations. |
| | Priority 1: Poorly-known species |
| 1 | Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey. |
| | Priority 2: Poorly-known species |
| 2 | Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey. |
| | Priority 3: Poorly-known species |
| 3 | Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. Such species are in need of further survey. |
| | Priority 4: Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring |
| 4 | (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands. |
| | (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as Conservation Dependent. |
| 1 | (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy. |
| i ne definiti | on of flora includes algae, fungi and lichens. |

 ¹ The definition of flora includes algae, fungi and lichens.
 ² Species includes all taxa (plural of taxon - a classificatory group of any taxonomic rank, e.g. a family, genus, species or any infraspecific category i.e. subspecies or variety, or a distinct population).

APPENDIX TWO TRAPPING SITE DETAILS

Table 5: Locations of impact trap sites

| Table 5. Eccations of impact trap sites | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| Site Type | Trap Number | Easting | Northing | | |
| | 1 | 759360.363 | 6444352.637 | | |
| | 2 | 759363.040 | 6444154.600 | | |
| | 3 | 759368.392 | 6443951.210 | | |
| | 4 | 759363.040 | 6443761.202 | | |
| | 5 | 759368.392 | 6443552.460 | | |
| | 6 | 759368.392 | 6443359.776 | | |
| | 7 | 759363.040 | 6443151.034 | | |
| | 8 | 759368.392 | 6442950.321 | | |
| | 9 | 759541.005 | 6442885.424 | | |
| | 10 | 759741.718 | 6442882.748 | | |
| | 11 | 761901.391 | 6444368.025 | | |
| | 12 | 762104.780 | 6444378.729 | | |
| | 13 | 762302.817 | 6444381.405 | | |
| | 14 | 762345.636 | 6444180.692 | | |
| Immast Citas | 15 | 762345.636 | 6443985.332 | | |
| Impact Sites | 16 | 762345.636 | 6443784.618 | | |
| | 17 | 762348.312 | 6443583.905 | | |
| | 18 | 762350.988 | 6443380.516 | | |
| | 19 | 762348.312 | 6443209.241 | | |
| | 20 | 762554.378 | 6443206.565 | | |
| | 21 | 761331.366 | 6446371.141 | | |
| | 22 | 761532.079 | 6446372.479 | | |
| | 23 | 761731.454 | 6446376.494 | | |
| | 24 | 761930.829 | 6446376.494 | | |
| | 25 | 762123.514 | 6446376.494 | | |
| | 26 | 762114.147 | 6446574.530 | | |
| | 27 | 762115.485 | 6446776.582 | | |
| | 28 | 761920.124 | 6446783.272 | | |
| | 29 | 761716.735 | 6446780.596 | | |
| | 30 | 761516.022 | 6446775.244 | | |

Table 6: Locations of control trap sites

| C' - | - N | | N. 41 * |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Site Type | Trap Number | Easting | Northing |
| | 31 | 757750.215 | 6452023.916 |
| | 32 | 758103.354 | 6451823.234 |
| | 33 | 758038.015 | 6451933.687 |
| | 34 | 757912.005 | 6451983.468 |
| | 35 | 752554.257 | 6452154.593 |
| | 36 | 752753.384 | 6452148.370 |
| | 37 | 752958.733 | 6452135.924 |
| | 38 | 753157.859 | 6452135.924 |
| | 39 | 753556.113 | 6452123.479 |
| | 40 | 753356.986 | 6452123.479 |
| | 41 | 753755.239 | 6452123.479 |
| | 42 | 753954.366 | 6452123.479 |
| | 43 | 754153.492 | 6452117.256 |
| | 44 | 754358.841 | 6452117.256 |
| Control Citor | 45 | 754551.745 | 6452098.588 |
| Control Sites | 46 | 754757.094 | 6452098.588 |
| | 47 | 754956.221 | 6452092.366 |
| | 48 | 755155.348 | 6452086.143 |
| | 49 | 755354.474 | 6452086.143 |
| | 50 | 755553.601 | 6452079.920 |
| | 51 | 755758.950 | 6452067.475 |
| | 52 | 755958.076 | 6452061.252 |
| | 53 | 756157.203 | 6452061.252 |
| | 54 | 756356.329 | 6452067.475 |
| | 55 | 756555.456 | 6452055.029 |
| | 56 | 756754.583 | 6452048.807 |
| | 57 | 756953.709 | 6452036.361 |
| | 58 | 757159.058 | 6452030.138 |
| | 59 | 757351.962 | 6452030.138 |
| | 60 | 757557.311 | 6452023.916 |